Adiabatic quantum computation with Rydberg-dressed atoms
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We study an architecture for implementing adiabatic quantum computation with trapped neutral atoms. Ground state atoms are dressed by laser fields in a manner conditional on the Rydberg blockade mechanism, thereby providing the requisite entangling interactions. As a benchmark we study the performance of a Quadratic Unconstrained Binary Optimization (QUBO) problem whose solution is found in the ground state spin configuration of an Ising-like model. We model a realistic architecture, including details of the atomic implementation, with qubits encoded into the clock states of 133Cs, effective B-fields implemented through stimulated Raman transitions, and atom-atom coupling achieved by excitation to the 100P transition level. Including the fundamental effects of photon scattering, we find the fidelity of two-qubit implementation to be on the order of 0.99, with higher fidelities possible with improved laser sources.

In this letter, we consider a new platform for adiabatic quantum computation (AQC) [1] based on trapped neutral atoms whose coupling is mediated by the dipole-dipole interactions of Rydberg states. The algorithm is implemented by continuous transformation of the Hamiltonian from an initial form whose ground state is easy to prepare to the final form whose ground state encodes the output of the algorithm. If the energy gap between the ground and excited states is sufficiently large, the transition from initial to final Hamiltonian can be accomplished efficiently. AQC is particularly attractive because the existence of an energy gap can make the system inherently robust to certain types of errors.

In contrast to quantum circuit implementations where atoms are excited to the Rydberg state with a resonant π-pulse [2,4], here we base our proposal on off-resonant Rydberg dressing of the atomic ground state, studied previously in the context of dipolar gases [5,6]. This leads to an entangling mechanism that is more compatible with AQC, where interactions are always on and can be continuously changed to transfer from the initial to final Hamiltonian. Such adiabatic evolution has been employed in recent cold atom/ion experiments to study quantum simulations of Ising models [7,8]. As a specific example, we will show how our architecture can be used to implement the quadratic unconstrained binary optimization (QUBO) algorithm. We will model the physics of its implementation to benchmark the performance of a proof-of-principle realization for a few qubits with nearest-neighbor interactions.

The goal of the QUBO problem is to find the N-tuple of binary variables, \( \bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) \), \( x_i \in \{0, 1\} \), that minimizes the function

\[
 f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_i x_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} J_{ij} x_i x_j, \tag{1}
\]

This is equivalent to solving for the ground state of a generic Ising model, a problem that is generally NP-hard [9]. Nonetheless, specific instantiations of this problem map onto a variety of satisfiability and related algorithms which are tractable, and thus provide useful testbeds for the AQC architecture [10]. To map QUBO onto the quantum problem, each binary variable is replaced by a projector acting on a qubit, \( x_i \mapsto (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), where the Pauli matrices are defined as usual on the qubit pseudospin, \(|0\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle, |1\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle\). The solution to QUBO maps onto finding the ground state of the “problem Hamiltonian,” \( H_P \), in the Ising form

\[
 H_P = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{h}_i \sigma_i^z + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \tilde{J}_{ij} \sigma_i^z \otimes \sigma_j^z, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \tilde{J}_{ij} = J_{ij}/4 \) and \( \tilde{h}_i = h_i/2 + \sum_j \tilde{J}_{ij} \). Since the Hamiltonian commutes with all \( \sigma_i^z \), the ground state is one of the computational basis states, which can be read out directly.

To implement AQC, we reach the ground state of \( H_P \) through adiabatic evolution. The beginning Hamiltonian, \( H_B \), must have a simply preparable ground state with \([H_B, H_P] \neq 0\). We choose \( H_B = -B_i \sum_i \sigma_i^z \), whose ground state is all spins up along the x-direction of the Bloch sphere, \(|+\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\). In a linear ramp, we transition from \( H_B \) to \( H_P \), \( H(t) = (1-t/T)H_B + (t/T)H_P \), with the time \( T \) chosen sufficiently long to ensure adiabatic evolution. Optimized, non-linear ramps between \( H_B \) and \( H_P \) can improve the fidelity of the adiabatic evolution, but the nature of such ramps will depend on the problem at hand.

As a benchmark for performance of this architecture, we will study a class of QUBO problems corresponding to a one-dimensional spin chain with symmetric interactions, \( J_{<ij>} = J \), where \( <ij> \) denotes nearest neighbors. We choose the values \( h_i \) to be equally spaced and less than \( J \), \( h_i = i\delta E < J \) for \( N \) qubits. The solution to this QUBO problem is trivial; minimization is achieved with the state \(|1010\cdots1\rangle \) and \(|0101\cdots0\rangle \) for odd \( N \), i.e., the bits alternate between 1 and 0 and the final bit is 0. Further, the gap between ground and first excited states scales as \( N^{-1} \), so the necessary evolution time to maintain adiabaticity grows linearly. We consider this example only as a proof-of-principle of the method that can be modeled numerically for a few qubits.
To implement this test-bed algorithm in a neutral-atom system, we consider cesium atoms that are optically trapped along a line. Qubits can be encoded in two hyperfine magnetic sublevels in the ground-electronic state of alkali-metal atoms, e.g., the “clock states” of $^{133}$Cs: $|0\rangle = |F = 4, M_F = 0\rangle$, $|1\rangle = |F = 3, M_F = 0\rangle$. Arbitrary single qubit Hamiltonians of the form $H = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}$ can be achieved with stimulated two-photon Raman transitions in the standard manner, with negligible photon scattering over the duration of the evolution for sufficient detuning and intensity of the lasers. The last critical ingredient is the coupling matrix of pairwise interactions, $J_{ij}$.

To generate interactions between widely separated neutral atoms, we dress the ground state using the Rydberg blockade mechanism as discussed by Johnson and Rolston [5]. The key idea is to induce a light shift (LS) on the atoms that depends on the dipole blockade. Consider a simple model of two-level atoms, with ground-state $|g\rangle$ and excited Rydberg state $|r\rangle$, and for interatomic separations such that the Rydberg blockade is perfect. Double occupation of $|rr\rangle$ is suppressed, and the laser couples the ground $|gg\rangle$ state to the excited “bright state” $\left(|rg\rangle + e^{i\Delta\phi}|gr\rangle\right)/\sqrt{2}$, an entangled state, where $\Delta\phi$ is the phase difference of the lasers at the positions of the atoms. The residual difference between the LS of blocked and non-interacting atomic pairs is the desired coupling constant,

$$J = E_{LS}^{(2)} - 2E_{LS}^{(1)} \approx -\frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 2\Omega^2} - 2\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Omega^2} \right).$$

Here $2E_{LS}^{(1)}$ is the noninteracting component of the two-atom LS, which can be removed via single-atom addressed lasers. Note, for a perfect blockade, the interaction strength is independent of the motional phase, $e^{i\Delta\phi}$, as long as the detuning is large compared to the Doppler width.

For application to quantum computing, we require this interaction to be conditional on the states of the qubits. For the Ising (QUBO) problem, we seek to implement the pairwise coupling $J_{ij}\sigma_i^{(z)} \otimes \sigma_j^{(z)}$. For two neighboring atoms, we can achieve this when the detuning of the Rydberg laser is small compared with the ground-state hyperfine splitting (9.2 GHz for $^{133}$Cs) so that the LS is negligible for all but a given computational state of the targeted qubits, $|x_1 x_2\rangle$, with $x_i \in \{0,1\}$. As above, this two-atom ground state is dressed off-resonant coupling to the bright state $\left(|rr\rangle + e^{i\Delta\phi}|x_1 x_2\rangle\right)/\sqrt{2}$, and the effective interaction Hamiltonian is $H_{\text{int}} \approx J|x_1 x_2\rangle\langle x_1 x_2|$. Up to single qubit terms (that can be compensated by individually addressed atomic LS), $H_{\text{int}} \Rightarrow \pm (J/4)\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j$. The positive/antiferromagnetic (negative/ferromagnetic) sign is achieved when $x_1 = x_2 \neq x_2$. The ability to choose the signs of the elements of $J_{ij}$ provides extra flexibility in this platform, even if the sign of the physical coupling is fixed in the dressing interaction. These concepts are illustrated in Fig. 1.

One fundamental limitation on the fidelity of operation is the scattering of photons due to excitation of the Rydberg state at a rate $\gamma_r = N \Gamma_{r} / \pi$, where $N$ is the population in the Rydberg state and $\Gamma_{r} / \pi$ is its linewidth. Scattering of trap-laser photons can be made negligible with blue detuned “bottle traps” [11]. While $\Gamma_r \propto n^{-3}$ points to larger principal quantum numbers, a variety of practical considerations limits the value of $n$, including the linewidth of the Rydberg excitation laser, the sensitivity of the Rydberg state to ambient fields, and the sheer size of the Rydberg atom. For example, for the 100P state, the quadratic Stark shift due to the weak DC electric field is approximately $-98$ GHz/(V/cm)$^2$, and the radius of the atom $r \approx 0.7 \mu m$. This sets a reasonable operating point for our architecture. To illustrate our protocol, we consider here the 100P$_{3/2}$ state, for which $\Gamma_r / 2\pi = 530$ Hz. By directly dressing the ground state with the Rydberg state using a single optical field at $\lambda = 318$ nm, we avoid the strong photon scattering that arises in the conventional two-photon excitation scheme via an intermediate excited state and reduce the total photon scattering rate by a factor of 10 or more.

A second fundamental limitation is the accuracy with which we can implement the QUBO Hamiltonian using the Rydberg-blockade interaction. Ideally, we would like to introduce only the desired pairwise couplings specified by the matrix $J_{ij}$. In practice there will be additional perturbations due to the long-range nature of the dipole-dipole interaction and the strong blockade mechanism. For our geometry, this means that there are residual next-nearest neighbor couplings and many-body effects (e.g., [12]) when more than two atoms are close to the blockade radius. Both interaction types will add unwanted terms to our final Hamiltonian, potentially shrinking the minimum gap or even changing the final ground state if they are too large. However, as long as these effects can be treated as a perturbation that is sufficiently small compared to the minimum energy gap, they will not interfere with the adiabaticity of evolution, and the algorithm will still give the correct answer; this sets a minimum acceptable energy gap and, by extension, constrains the size of problem that can be solved.

We must address further details in order to understand the exact nature of the coupling $J$. The qualitative discussion above holds only for a simplified model of participating atomic levels and for a perfect dipole-blockade, i.e., when the probability of simultaneously exciting two adjacent Rydberg atoms is zero. To obtain a more accurate description
we can find the dressed-state eigenvalues by diagonalizing the two-atom system in the presence of the laser field, yielding a position dependent $J(r)$ \cite{3}. Outside the blockade radius, the result is $J(r) \propto r^{-k}$, where $k = 3$ for the Förster regime or $k = 6$ for the Van der Waals regime. As we are considering direct excitation to a $p$-state, there may be concern that pairs of atoms would couple to noninteracting “Förster zero states” that evade the Rydberg blockade \cite{13}. Such zeros are avoided, however, in a more complete description of the electric dipole-dipole interaction (EDDI) since mixing occurs not only between $p$- and $s$-states but also with nearby $d$-states and higher angular momentum orbitals.

Inside the so-called blockade radius the situation becomes significantly more complex. Our description has been based on weak EDDI mixing of nearby Rydberg levels. Under this model, inside the blockade radius, the interaction strength $J(r)$ decreases very slowly with $r$, plateauing to the value given in Eq. (3) when the blockade is considered perfect \cite{3}. For short separation distances, however, this model breaks down, as the magnitude of the EDDI grows large relative to the bare atomic level splitting. The result is an admixture of many more nearby Rydberg states with different $n$ and $l$ quantum numbers, leading to a splitting of the excited states into a large “spaghetti” of energy levels that have more “molecular” than “atomic” character \cite{14}.

Figure 2 shows the portion of the doubly-excited spectrum that asymptotes at large separation near to the energy of two-atoms in the $100P_{3/2}$ state. This spectrum is calculated using a basis of two-atom Rydberg levels $|n_A l_A J_A M_{J_A}⟩|n_B l_B J_B M_{J_B}⟩$, with $96 \leq n \leq 101$, $0 \leq l \leq 6$, and including fine structure and all magnetic sublevels, a total of $\sim 45,000$ bare states. Clearly, without proper care, this dense fan of excited levels can shift into resonance with the laser field, potentially negating the blockade effect at the heart of our protocol. The impact of such resonances on the dressed states will depend on the oscillator strengths for the transition that connects the two-atom ground state to these doubly-excited states via the intermediate singly-excited bright states. Figure 2 also shows a plot of the spectrum, weighting each level by this oscillator strength. We see that outside $r \approx 8 \mu m$ there is little oscillator strength for blue detunings on the scale of interest. Inside this radius, the situation is much less clear. While there may be regions of small oscillator strength for shorter interatomic distances, our calculations based on the bare atomic basis fails to converge, and the results cannot be trusted. We will restrict our attention here to $r > 8 \mu m$, which will give us sufficient coupling and control over the atoms.

Given the doubly-excited spectrum, we can calculate the dressed-ground-state coupling $J(r)$. An important consideration is the choice of detuning of the $318$ nm Rydberg laser. We seek to maximize the figure of merit $\kappa = J/\gamma$, so that we achieve a large gap between the ground state and excited computational states of the problem Hamiltonian, while minimizing photon scattering over the duration of the evolution. In the simplified model that leads to Eq. (3), $|J|$ continues to grow as $|A|$ decreases, while $\gamma$ saturates at half of the spontaneous emission rate. This suggests that the best operating point is not one with weak dressing, but with a strong admixture of Rydberg character in the dressed-ground state that arises for small detuning. For such strong dressing, the minimum possible detuning is set by the requirement that the dressed-excited-Rydberg state is sufficiently gapped from the dressed-ground-electronic states, so that adiabatic evolution can proceed.

Including the full doubly-excited spectrum shown in Fig. 2 the choice of detuning is found empirically. For a Rydberg laser that achieves a Rabi frequency $\Omega_r = 10$ MHz, we find that a good choice of detuning is $\Delta_r = 8$ MHz. Figure 3 shows a calculation of $J(r)$ for these parameters, and its comparison to the simplified two-level atomic model. For tightly trapped separated atoms, $J(r = 8 \mu m)/2\pi = -470$ kHz. At such a laser power and detuning, there is substantial dressing, with as much as $\sim 20\%$ of Rydberg character in the dressed ground states. The maximum photon scattering rate is $\gamma_p/2\pi \approx 100$ Hz, yielding an excellent figure of merit for AQC. Next-nearest-neighbor and three-body interactions for these parameters are smaller than the minimum gap for up to five atoms; increasing $\Delta_r$ and $r$ could increase the maximum problem size farther at the expense of $\kappa$. 

Figure 2. (a) Doubly excited levels as a function of distance between atoms that asymptote near the atomic pair $|100P_{3/2},100P_{3/2}⟩$. (b) Weighting of the levels in (a) by their oscillator strengths to dress the two-atom ground state.

Figure 3. (Color online) Ground-state interaction strength $J(r)$ as a function of distance between the two cesium atoms in $F = 4$ clock states, for $\Omega_r = 10$ MHz and $\Delta_r = 8$ MHz. Calculated using all $l \leq 6$ atomic orbitals ($s, p, d, f, g, h, i$; purple solid line) and more approximately using only the nearest $l \leq 2$ orbitals ($s, p, d$; blue dashed line). As seen in the more exact calculation, below $r = 8 \mu m$ there are resonances whose exact positions cannot be predicted without taking higher-$l$ states into account.
With these parameters we model the performance of proof-of-principle experiments to solve the QUBO problems via AQC. The basic protocol is as follows: We optically pump the atoms into a clock state and initialize the qubits in an eigenstate of $\sigma_z$ through the application of a Raman-resonant $\pi/2$-pulse. We then phase shift the Raman beam by $\pi/2$, leaving the atoms in the ground state of the beginning Hamiltonian, $H_B = -B_i \sum \sigma_z^{(i)}$, where $2B_i$ is the Raman-Rabi frequency. This initializes the quantum register in an equal superposition of all computational basis states. The transition from initial to final QUBO Hamiltonian is achieved by ramping down the Raman laser power while ramping up the individual atoms’ Raman detunings that create the local Hamiltonians $\hat{h}_i \sigma_z^{(i)}$. Simultaneously, we linearly increase the Rydberg laser power that creates the coupling Hamiltonians $J_{ij} \sigma_z^{(i)} \otimes \sigma_z^{(j)}/4$. At the end of the ramp, the answer to the algorithm can be read out using state-dependent resonance fluorescence.

The coupling matrix $J_{ij}$ is induced by the dipole blockade mechanism discussed above. For QUBO problems under consideration, we take $J_{ij} = J \forall i, j \neq 1$, achieved when all atoms are arranged in an evenly spaced line, with spacing between nearest neighbors $d$ such that $J(r) \gg J(2r)$. As can be seen in Fig. 3 $r \approx 8 \mu$m for blue detuning fits this criterion. Note, since the coupling parameter $J_{c;j>}$ is positive, while the physical $J$ is negative for our system, we achieve the desired antiferromagnetic Ising coupling by using Rydberg laser fields that individually address the atoms, alternately dressing nearest-neighbors in $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

We take as our parameters $B_s = J_{c;j>} = 470$ kHz, and $R_s = (i/N)118.5$ kHz for $N$ qubits, achievable with the atom-laser interactions discussed above. The ramp time is taken to be $35 \mu$s, sufficiently long to maintain adiabatic evolution, but sufficiently short compared to the photon scattering time. We treat spontaneous emission from the Rydberg level as effectively randomizing the magnetic spin state as the population cascades back to the ground electronic ground state. For practical reasons, the detection scheme does not distinguish between different magnetic sublevels in the same hyperfine subspace. All magnetic sublevels in $F = 3$ are treated as logical-1 and those in $F = 4$ as logical-0. Our simulation for two qubits, with the correct solution to QUBO encoded in $|10\rangle$, gives a fidelity of 0.997. For larger numbers of qubits, the fidelity scales favorably. For three and four qubits, scaling up the evolution time linearly with qubit number, we find fidelities of 0.989 and 0.990.

The performance of the neutral-atom platform for AQC depends on a combination of practical and fundamental questions. Here, photon scattering is the fundamental limitation on the fidelity. The size of the minimum gap for a certain level of dressing by the laser fields determines that time scale for implementing the algorithm and thus the probability of spontaneous emission. The performance our protocol is constrained by the size of $J$ due to Rydberg dressing, whose optimal value for a given laser power depends on the details of the atomic level structure. Here we found that for reasonable power and detuning, we could achieve $J = 470$ kHz and a fidelity of $\approx 0.99$ in a proof-of-principle solution to a QUBO problem with 2-4 qubits. Modest increases in this coupling would allow us to attain high-fidelity control with larger numbers of qubits. Alternatively, one could live with a slightly reduced fidelity (e.g., by increasing the detuning) at the expense of a modest increase in the number of times necessary to run the algorithm. This should allow us to explore the regime of 10-20 qubits, where interesting physics beyond classical simulation is accessible. The scalability this platform will depend on many factors, including a detailed study of many-body effects beyond the pairwise interactions treated here. Ultimately, truly scalable AQC will depend on our ability to harmonize the intrinsic robustness of the adiabatic approach with the digitization of errors employed in the quantum circuit approach.
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